



ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 113596-31-000127BS

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Serial No.: 09/672,424
Applicant: Gurjeet S. Saund, et al.
Title: COMPLEX INSTRUCTION SET COMPUTER
Filed: September 28, 2000
Art Unit: 2183
Examiner: Eric Coleman
Atty. Docket: 113596-31-000127BS
Customer No. 38492

Confirmation No.: 7407

I certify that this correspondence, along with any documents referred to therein, is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on October 13, 2006 as First Class Mail in an envelope with sufficient postage addressed to Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Applicant hereby responds to the Office Action of June 19, 2006.

PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

Applicant petitions for a one-month extension of time to respond to the Office Action of June 19, 2006, to and including October 19, 2006. Kindly charge the petition fee of \$110.00 to Deposit Account No. 23-2405, Order No. 113596-31-000127BS.

AMENDMENTS TO THE SPECIFICATION begin on page 2 of this paper.

AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS begin on page 3 of this paper.

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS begin on page 17 of this paper.

10/17/2006 FMEK11 00000039 232405 09672424

01 FC:1251 120.00 DA

macro instruction' (EOM).” A has been noted in several previous papers, an “iteration” within a macroinstruction as recited in claim 13 is not the same thing as a “macro instruction” as in Colwell '728. Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner take care to be accurate.

Claim 13 recites limitations that are not properly addressed in the Office Action, and that distinguish Colwell '728 and Papworth '473. Claim 13 is not now rejected, and may be allowed.

IV. Other claims

Dependent claims 6, 8, 12 and 54 are patentable with the independent claims discussed above. In addition, the dependent claims recite additional features that further distinguish the art.


Other claims are amended to refine verb tenses, to clarify that the claims cover the relevant apparatus even when it is not operating. The claims previously had such scope; these amendments merely make that scope unambiguous. These amendments are not narrowing.

In view of the amendments and remarks, Applicant respectfully submits that the claims are in condition for allowance. Applicant requests that the application be passed to issue in due course. The Examiner is urged to telephone Applicant's undersigned counsel at the number noted below if it will advance the prosecution of this application, or with any suggestion to resolve any condition that would impede allowance. A Petition for Extension of Time for one month renders this Response timely. In the event that further extension of time is required, Applicant petitions for that extension of time required to make this response timely. Kindly charge any additional fee, or credit any surplus, to Deposit Account No. 23-2405, Order No. 113596-31-000127BS.

Respectfully submitted,

WILLKIE FARR & GALLAGHER LLP

Dated: October 13, 2006

By: 
David E. Boundy
Registration No. 36,461

WILLKIE FARR & GALLAGHER LLP
787 Seventh Ave.
New York, New York 10019
(212) 728-8000
(212) 728-8111 Fax